

# COMPARISON OF PMF AND 100-YEAR FLOOD ESTIMATED FOR A SMALL AGRICULTURAL RIVER BASIN IN POLAND

**K. Banasik, L. Hejduk**

*Warsaw University of Life Sciences - SGGW, Warsaw, Poland  
banasik@alpha.sggw.waw.pl*

The planning, design and use of hydrotechnical structures often requires the assessment of maximum flood potentials. The most common term applied to this upper limit of flooding is the probable maximum flood (PMF). The PMP/UH (probable maximum precipitation/unit hydrograph) method has been used in the study to predict PMF from a small agricultural lowland river basin of Zagozdzonka (left tributary of Vistula river) in Poland. The river basin, located about 100 km south of Warsaw, with an area – upstream the gauge of Plachty - of 82 km<sup>2</sup>, has been investigated by Department of Water Engineering and Environmental Restoration of Warsaw Agricultural University since 1962. Over 40-year flow record was used in previous investigation for predicting T-year flood discharge (Banasik & Byczkowski, 2006, 2007). The objective here was to estimate the PMF using the PMP/UH method and to compare the results with the 100-year flood.

A new relation of depth-duration curve of PMP for the local climatic condition has been developed based on Polish maximum observed rainfall data (Ozga-Zielinska & Ozga-Zielinski, 2003). Exponential formula, with the value of exponent of 0.47, i.e. close to the exponent in formula for world PMP and also in the formula of PMP for Great Britain (Wilson, 1993), gives the rainfall depth about 40% lower than the Wilson's one.

The effective rainfall (runoff volume) has been estimated from the PMP of various duration using the CN-method (USDA-SCS, 1986). The CN value as well as parameters of the IUH model (Nash, 1957) have been established from the 27 rainfall-runoff events, recorded in the river basin in the period 1980-2004.

The results of the analyze have shown that the peak discharge of the PMF is 4.5 times larger then 100-year flood, and volume ratio of the respective direct hydrographs caused by rainfall events of critical duration is 4.0.

## References

- Banasik K., Byczkowski A., 2006: *Estimation of T-year flood discharge for a small river lowland river using statistical method*. Annals of Warsaw Agricultural University - SGGW, Land Reclamation, 37: 27-32, ([http://ann\\_landreclam.sggw.pl/landreclam37.pdf](http://ann_landreclam.sggw.pl/landreclam37.pdf)).
- Banasik K., Byczkowski A., 2007: *Probable annual floods ina small lowland river estimated with the use of various sets of data*. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences - SGGW, Land Reclamation, 38:3-10, ([http://ann\\_landreclam.sggw.pl/landreclam38.pdf](http://ann_landreclam.sggw.pl/landreclam38.pdf)).
- Hawkins R.H., Ward T.J., Woodward D.E., Mullem J.A., 2008: *Curve Number Hydrology: State of the Practice*. Report of ASCE/EWRI Task Committee, Washington D.C.

- Nash J.E., 1957. *The form of the instantaneous unit hydrograph*. Publ. IAHS, 59: 202-213.
- Ozga-Zielińska M. & Ozga-Zielinski B., 2003: *The floodgenerativity of rivers as a measure of danger for hydrotechnical structures and determination of flood protection zones* (in Polish with English summary). *Gospodarka Wodna*, 1: 10-17.
- Shalaby A.,I., 1995: *Sensitivity to probable maximum flood*. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 121(5): 327-337.
- USDA-SCS (Soil Conservation Service), 1986: TR-55: *Urban hydrology for small watershed*. Wasington, D.C.
- Wilson E.M., 1993: *Engineering hydrology*. MacMillan, London.